

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,488	09/29/2005	Yasuyuki Ishii	SAEG129.015APC	4176
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 05/14/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			HOWARD, ZACHARY C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/551,488	ISHII ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
ZACHARY C. HOWARD	1646			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the communication of the communicatio						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2007.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application						

U.S. Patent and	1 Trademark Offic
PTOL-326	(Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/551,488

Art Unit: 1646

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

Claims 1-18 are pending in the instant application.

#### Note

Claim 8 is drafted in terms of "use of a polypeptide"; however "use" is not a statutory class of invention. As "use" is non-statutory subject matter and is not patentable, and no method steps are recited, claim 8 has been interpreted as a claim to a polypeptide.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 2, 7, 8, 10-12, 14-16 and 18, drawn to a modified thioredoxin polypeptide and a complex, anti-cancer agent, anti-cancer enhancer or composition comprising said polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 3-5, drawn to a gene encoding a modified thioredoxin polypeptide, expression vectors comprising said gene and transformants comprising said vectors.

Group III, claim(s) 6, 9 and 13, drawn to a method of producing a polypeptide by culturing a transformant, a method of producing a complex by culturing a transformant, and a method of producing complex by binding a biologically active substance to a modified thioredoxin polypeptide.

Group IV, claim 17, drawn to a method of treating cancer comprising administering a modified thioredoxin polypeptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Application/Control Number: 10/551,488

Art Unit: 1646

The technical feature linking groups I-IV appears to be that they all relate to a modified thioredoxin polypeptide comprising an amino acid substitution at the cysteine residue at position 35 of SEQ ID NO: 2.

However, the international search report completed on April 21, 2004 by the Japanese Patent Office cites numerous prior art that is encompassed by claim 1, including Oblong et al (1994); Tonissen et al (1993); Kirkpatrick (1998); JP10-191977 (28 July 1998); Gallegos (1996). Each reference is cited on the IDS filed on 1/20/2006. The Search Report further states, "The matter common to claims 1, 7 to 10 and 13 resides in polypeptides having an amino acid sequence –Cys-Gly-Pro-A (wherein A represents an arbitrary amino acid other than Cys). As the results of the search, it is clarified that the polypeptides having an amino acid sequence –Cys-Gly-Pro-A (wherein A represents an arbitrary amino acid other than Cys) are not novel because of having been disclosed in document "A, GALLEGOS, et. Al., Cancer Res. (1996), Vol. 56, No. 24, p. 5765-5770". Thus, this common matter falls within the category of prior art and therefore cannot be regarded as a special technical feature in the meaning within PCT Rule 13.2. Such being the case, there is no special technical matter common to all claims and the above invention groups cannot be considered as a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept".

The pending claims in the instant national (371) application have not been amended since the time of filing of PCT/JP2004/0045233, for which the search report was performed. The Examiner has reviewed Gallegos et al (1996; cited on the 1/20/06 IDS) and has confirmed that it teaches modified human thioredoxin with substitution mutation at residue Cysteine-35, as encompassed by instant claim 1.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-IV does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,488

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

## Reioinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,488

Art Unit: 1646

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary C. Howard whose telephone number is 571-272-2877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary B. Nickol can be reached on 571-272-0835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

zch

/Elizabeth C. Kemmerer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1646